

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/038,296	01/02/2002	Adrian Kawa	10047	8420
7590 09/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Kamran Fattahi, Esq Law Offices of Kamran Fattahi			SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD	
Encino Office Park II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6345 Balboa Blvd., Suite 330			1761	
Encino, CA 9	1316		DATE MAIL ED: 00/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( '				
er.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,296	KAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The SAALING DATE of this commission is also	Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08/10</u>	<u>/04</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-12 and 14-16 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-12 and 14-16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the december of the december of the correction and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner of the correction of the co	pted or b)  objected to by the lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicat ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,296

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicants have amended the claims to recite "about 14-20%" and specificational basis for this phrase could not be found.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because the scope of the phrase "about 14-20%" is unknown.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/038,296

Art Unit: 1761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuura et al. (Jap. Pat. No. 10262641)("Matsuura") in view of Nagao et al. (Jap. Pat. No. 7059553) ("Nagao") for the reasons set forth in the last Office action.

## Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 08/10/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants have amended the claims to require the use of a preservative other than the alcohol of the sake or the acids found in the added fruits. They argue that because sake is known to the public as being a preservative free beverage, those of ordinary skill would not find it obvious to add notoriously well known preservatives. Applicants further state that the claims require the alcohol content to be quite high.

This is not totally accurate, as the lower limit is "about 14%." At this time it is not clear far below 14% the claims extend, but even at 14%, this represents an alcohol level that is not uncommon in wines. As is well known, wines commonly use preservatives such as those found in the dependent claims.

Applicants are combining several well known preserving processes and it has yet to be shown that anything unexpected is obtained. Lastly, it is noted that the pasteurization process of the instant process is used in the prior art to inactivate enzymes that would not be inactivated by any other means. Therefore, there is added motivation

Art Unit: 1761

to use both pasteurization, in order to deactivate fruit enzymes, and a preservative, other than alcohol or the fruit acids (which may or may not be in an amount to ensure long term preservation).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-1406. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761